2			
3		OLOGUED COMMISSION	
4	·		
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON		F WASHINGTON	
6			
7	IN THE MATTER OF ENFORCEMENT) ACTION AGAINST)	PDC CASE NO.: 04-663	
8	Ed Herbert, Seattle School) District No. 1	FINAL ORDER IMPOSING FINE	
9) Respondent.)		
10)	•	
11	INTRODUCTION		
12	The Washington State Public Disclosure Commission (Commission) conducted as		
13			
14	enforcement hearing (adjudicative proceeding) under chapters 34.05 and 42.17 RCW and		
15	chapter 390-37 WAC on January 25, 2005, with	n respect to the above-captioned matter. The	
16	Commission convened the hearing at the Everg	reen Plaza Building, Room 206, 711 Capito	
17	Way South, in Olympia, Washington. The	Staff appeared through Senior Assistan	
18	Attorney General Linda Dalton. Ed Herbert, R	espondent, was present and was represented	
19	by Harriet Strasberg, his legal counsel.		
20	by Harriet Strasberg, his legal counser.		
21	The Commission was provided a copy	y of the Notice of Administrative Charges	
22	dated December 27, 2004; a Report of Investi	gation dated October 6, 2004 with exhibits	
23	Respondent's Prehearing Brief; and a Stipulation	on of Facts (Stipulation) of the parties dated	
24	January 25, 2005.		
25	The Commission heard the testimony of	`Mr. Herbert.	
26			

	·	
1	After due consideration of the above documents and testimony, and oral argument	
2	by counsel for Staff and the Respondent, by a 4-0 vote, the Commission accepted the	
3	Stipulation, made the following findings and enters the following order.	
4	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS	
5		
6	Based upon the stipulated facts and testimony, the Commission enters the following	
7	findings and conclusions:	
8	The Commission finds and concludes the Respondent used the public facilities of	
9	the public schools in violation of RCW 42.17.130.	
10	<u>ORDER</u>	
11	The Commission orders as follows:	
12	1. That the Stipulation of Facts is accepted and is incorporated by reference in this	
13 14	order; and,	
15	2. That a total civil penalty of \$500 is assessed against the Respondent, with \$450	
16	suspended if there is no future violation of RCW 42.17 for two years from the date	
17	of this Order.	
18	RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL ORDER - COMMISSION	
19	Any party may ask the Commission to reconsider this final order. Parties must	
20	place their requests for reconsideration in writing, include the specific grounds or reasons	
21 22	for the request, and deliver the request to the Public Disclosure Commission Office within	
23	TEN (10) days of the date that the Commission serves this order upon the party. Pursuant	
24	to RCW 34.05.470(3), the Public Disclosure Commission is deemed to have denied the	
25	petition for reconsideration if, within twenty (20) days from the date the petition is filed, the	

26

1 Commission does not either dispose of the petition or serve the parties with written notice 2 specifying the date by which it will act on the petition. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.470(5), the 3 Respondent is not required to ask the Public Disclosure Commission to reconsider the final 4 order before seeking judicial review by a superior court. 5 FURTHER APPEAL RIGHTS - SUPERIOR COURT 6 Pursuant to RCW 42.17.395(5), a final order issued by the Public Disclosure 7 8 Commission is subject to judicial review under the Administrative Procedures Act, chapter 9 34.05 RCW. The procedures are provided in RCW 34.05.510 - .598. Pursuant to RCW 10 34.05.542(2), a petition for judicial review must be filed with the superior court in Thurston 11 County or the petitioner's county of residence or principal place of business. The petition 12 for judicial review must be served on the Public Disclosure Commission and any other 13 parties within 30 days of the date that the Public Disclosure Commission serves this final 14 order on the parties. 15 16 If reconsideration is properly sought, the petition for judicial review must be served 17 on the Public Disclosure Commission and any other parties within thirty (30) days after the 18 Commission acts on the petition for reconsideration. 19 **ENFORCEMENT OF FINAL ORDERS** 20 The Commission will seek to enforce this final order in superior court under RCW 21 42.17.395-397, and recover legal costs and attorney's fees, if the penalty remains unpaid 22 and no petition for judicial review has been filed under chapter 34.05 RCW. This action 23 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// FINAL ORDER

PDC Case No. 04-663

1	will be taken without further order by the Commission.
2	DATED THIS day of February 2005.
3	FOR THE COMMISSION:
4	
5	
6	VICE TYPES
7	VICKI RIPPIE, Executive Director
8	Attachment: Stipulation of Facts Dated January 25, 2005
9	MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:
10	
11	3/17/05 12 Washing
12	Copies mailed to:
13	Harriet Strasberg, Counsel for Respondent
14	Linda Dalton, Senior Assistant Attorney General
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	·
25	
26	

BEFORE THE PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

In the Matter of Enforcement Action Against

Ed Herbert, Seattle School District No. 1

Respondent.

NO: 04-663

STIPULATION AS TO FACTS

COMES NOW the parties hereto, the Public Disclosure Commission Staff, by and through its attorneys of record, ROB McKENNA, Attorney General and LINDA A. DALTON, Senior Assistant Attorney General, and the Respondent, ED HERBERT, appearing through his attorney, HARRIET STRASBERG, and submits this Stipulation as to Facts in this matter.

The parties hereto stipulate to the following facts contained in the Notice of Administrative Charges submitted by the Public Disclosure Commission Staff in the above-entitled matter:

- 1. During the 2003-04 school year, the Respondent, Ed Herbert, was a teacher at Ballard High School in the Seattle School District No. 1. He has been a teacher for 16 years. The 2003-04 school year was his fourth year at Ballard High School.
- 2. During the 2003-04 school year, Mr. Herbert was a building representative for the Seattle Education Association (SEA) at Ballard High

1	School. He had been a building representative for one year prior to the		
2	2003-04 school year. The SEA is a local affiliate of the Washington		
3	Education Association.		
4	3. On June 2, 2004, Mr. Herbert used his school email account and		
5	computer to forward an email from Maggie Crain, Associate Executive		
6	Director of the SEA, to the 141 employees of Ballard High School, most of		
7	whom were members of the SEA.		
8	4. Mr. Herbert's introduction to Ms. Crain's email stated as follows:		
9	Anyone who still has a natition for Deferendum 55 or I 884		
10	Anyone who still has a petition for Referendum 55 or I – 884 Please put them in Dennis Nusbaum or my mailbox. They will be		
11	picked up by SEA folks today. Thanks!		
12	The email distributed is attached as "A" to this Stipulation.		
13	5. At the time Mr. Herbert distributed the email, he was unaware of any		
14	prohibition on using public facilities to support or oppose a ballot		
	proposition. 6. Mr. Herbert received completed petitions in his school district mailbox.		
15			
16	Stipulated to and dated this 25 day of January, 2005.		
17			
18			
19	Vicki Rippie, Executive Director		
20	Public Disclosure Commission		
21	$n \cdot 0$		
22	Agriet Makey Ed Herbert		
23	HARRIET STRASBERG/WSBA #15890 ED HERBERT Attorney for Respondent		
24			
25			
26			
- 1			